# UNITED STATE DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

GREATBANC TRUST COMPANY	,
a Illinois corporation,	

Plaintiff,

ν.

REESE ZANTOP,

Defendant.

02-72905

I-Ion. PATRICK J. DUGGAN

Circuit Court Case No. 02-612-CB Hon. Donald E. Shelton

MAGISTRATE JUDGE SCHEER

DONALD C. DARNELL, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: Don Darnell (P55268) 308 N. River St., 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Ypsilanti, Michigan 49198

(734) 544-7676

HONIGMAN MILLER SCHWARTZ AND COHN LLP

Attorneys for Defendant

Barry L. Howard (P23458) Jason Schian Conti (P55617)

32270 Telegraph Road, Suite 225

Bingham Farms, Michigan 48025-2457

(248) 566-8400

DEFENDANT'S APPLICATION FOR REMOVAL

Defendant Reese Zantop ("Mr. Zantop"), by filing this notice of removal and related papers, removes this action from the Circuit Court for the County of Washtenaw, State of Michigan, to the United States District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division. In support of this removal, Mr. Zantop states:

- 1. Plaintiff Greatbanc Trust Company ("GTC") filed this action in the Circuit Court of the County of Washtenaw, State of Michigan, on June 24, 2002, against Mr. Zantop, individually, alleging among other things breach of fiduciary duty, and violations of MCL §§ 450.1753 and 450.1541(a). GTC seeks an amount in excess of \$20,500,000.00, exclusive of interest, costs and attorneys' fees.
- 2. Mr. Zantop was served copies of the Summons and First Amended Complaint on June 26, 2002. Mr. Zantop attaches hereto copies of the process and pleadings in his possession as Exhibit A.

# **DIVERSITY OF THE PARTIES**

- 3. Plaintiff GTC is a Illinois corporation, with its principal place of business in Illinois. Thus, for purposes of determining subject matter jurisdiction, GTC is only a citizen of the State of Illinois. 28 U.S.C. §1332(c)(1).
- 4. Defendant Mr. Zantop is a citizen and resident of the State of Florida. See Affidavit of Reese Zantop, attached hereto as Exhibit B. Thus, for purposes of determining subject matter jurisdiction, Defendant Mr. Zantop is only a citizen of the State of Florida. 28 U.S.C. §1332(c)(1).
  - 5. Accordingly, complete diversity exists between GTC and Mr. Zantop.

AMOUNT IN CONTROVERSY

6. The First Amended Complaint alleges damages in an amount in excess of

\$20,500,000,00, exclusive of interest, costs and attorneys' fees.

7. Accordingly, this Court has original jurisdiction under 28. U.S.C.

§1332(a)(1) because this is an action between citizens of different states and the amount

in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.

VENUE

Venue is also proper in this district and division because for purposes of 8.

the venue statute a substantial part of the alleged events or omissions giving rise to the

claims occurred in this district and division. 28 U.S.C. §1391(a). Therefore, this case is

properly removed to the United States District Court of the Eastern District of Michigan,

Southern Division. 28 U.S.C. §1446(a).

Mr. Zantop will promptly have a copy of this application and the Notice of 9.

Filing of Removal (a copy is attached hereto as Exhibit C) filed with the Clerk of the

Circuit Court for the County of Washtenaw, State of Michigan, as required by 28 U.S.C.

§§ 1441(a) and 1446(d).

Respectfully submitted,

HONIGMAN MILLER ICHWARTZ AND COHN LLP

Attorneys for Defendant

By:

Howard (P23458)

on Schian Conti (P55617)

32270 Telegraph Road, Suite 225

Bingham Farms, Michigan 48025-2457

(248) 566-8400

Dated: July 16, 2002

OAK\_A\545521.1

3

2 <sup>NO</sup>	<del>ovulial distric</del> t	1		CAS	
~ 	JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COUNTY PROBATE	Summons and	COMPLAINT	02-612 CB	
Address 1 E. Hufon, I	P.O. Box 8645, Ann	Arbor, Michigan 48107	7	(73	Court temphone 41994-2507
	ss) and talephone to(s).			dram(es), and telephone multi).	
REATBANC	TRUST COMPANY.				
Illinois corpo			V REESE ZANT		
•	eddren, and telephone na.		827 Willow R Ypsilanti, Mic	-	
on Damell (P. 18 N. River St.		\$	i psuznit, mic	URRIL JOYNO	
psilanti, Michi	-	}			
4/544-7676					
You are be YOU HAVE party or to If you do n	ting sued. 21 DAYS after receiv take other lawful ac ot answer or take oth	ENDANT: In the name ving this summons to fi tion (28 days if you we ter action within the tir	le an answer wit are served by ma	h the court and serve il or you were served	a copy on the oth outside this state
relief demi	nded in the complain		Cost	urt clerk	<del></del>
•••		""SEP25 200	2		No. 20
nily Division There is no	veres other pending or reso			٠	والمساوية والمحاد
the family of An action wo of the parties action	r family members of	the parties. of the family division o y filed in	f the circuit cou	e family division of cire involving the mily Court.  I the judge assigned t	or family membe
the family of An action who fellow the parties action charmo charmo charmo charmo charmo charmo charmo charmo charmo comple A civil actional charmo c	r family members of vithin the jurisdiction is has been previously remains is no longular is no	the parties. of the family division of the family division of the family division of the family division of the document of the family division arising the family division of the parties arised in	the circuit coulcket number and g out of the same	r involving the mily Court. If the judge assigned to the function or occur	or family member a the action are:
the family of An action wo of the parties action characteris action.  There is no the comple A civil action complaint it action.	r family members of vithin the jurisdiction is has been previously remains is no long state of their pending or resint, on between these parties been previously filter remains is no	the parties. of the family division of the family division of the family division of the family division of the document of the family division arising the family division of the parties arised in	the circuit coulcket number and g out of the same	r involving the amily Court. If the judge assigned to the judge assigned to the function or occurrents action or occurrents action or occurrents.	or family member a the action are:
the family of An action wo of the parties action cherno ch	r family members of vithin the jurisdiction is has been previously remains is no long state of their pending or resint, on between these parties been previously filter remains is no	the parties. of the family division of the family division of the family division of the family division of the parties are led in	the circuit coulcket number and gout of the same ising out of the court.	r involving the amily Court. If the judge assigned to the judge assigned to the feature or occurrent and the judge assigned and the judge assigned.	or family member a the action are:
the family of An action wo of the parties action cherno ch	r family members of vithin the jurisdiction is has been previously remains is no longular and lo	the parties. of the family division of the family division of the family division of the family division of the parties are led in pending. The family described in pending. The family division of the family	the circuit coulcket number and gout of the same ising out of the same ising out of the court.  docket number and other same is a court.	re involving the amily Court. If the judge assigned to the judge assigned to transaction or occurrent and the judge assigned the judge assigned the judge assigned to the judge assigned the judge as a judge assigned the judge as a judge as a judge as a judge as	or family member a the action are:  The action are:  Trence as alleged in the action are
the family of An action wo of the parties action start no complete A civil action complaint it action start no complete the complete th	r family members of within the jurisdiction is has been previously ramains is no longulates.  Judge  Judge  Judge  Lists  Judge  Lists  Judge  Lists  Judge  Lists  Lists  Judge  Lists	the parties. of the family division of the family division of the family division of the family division of the parties are led in pending. The family described in pending. The family division of the family	the circuit coulcket number and gout of the same ising out of the court.	re involving the amily Court. If the judge assigned to the judge assigned to transaction or occurrent and the judge assigned the judge assigned the judge assigned to the judge assigned the judge as a judge assigned the judge as a judge as a judge as a judge as	or family member a the action are:  The action are:  Trence as alleged in the action are
the family of An action wo of the parties action charmo ch	r family members of vithin the jurisdiction is has been previously remains is no longular and lo	the parties. of the family division of the family division of the family division of the family division of the parties are led in pending. The family described in pending. The family division of the family	the circuit coulcket number and gout of the same ising out of the same ising out of the court.  docket number and other same is a court.	re involving the amily Court. If the judge assigned to the judge assigned to transaction or occurrent and the judge assigned the judge assigned the judge assigned to the judge assigned the judge as a judge assigned the judge as a judge as a judge as a judge as	or family member or the action are:  rrence as alleged in the d to the action are

SING CORPORATIONS 01 (9/98) SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT MCR 2.102(Bit1), MCR 2.104, MCR 2.105, MCR 2.107, MCR 2.113(Git2itet, lbt., MCR 3.208(A)

P.02

HE7:00 70-17-1100

SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT. Case No. 02-612 CB

### PROOF OF SERVICE

TO PROCESS SERVER: You are to serve the summons and complaint not later than 91 days from the date of filing. You must make and file your return with the court clerk. If you are unable to complete service you must return this original and all copies to the court clerk,

### CERTIFICATE / AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE / NON-SERVICE

	at I am a sheriff, er, or attorney for		TE f, bailiff, appointed 2.104(A)(2)), and	co	mpetent	duly swor	T OF PROCESS SERVER in, I state that I am a legally is not a party or an officer of a sat: Inotary required
			immons and compli all (copy of return )		thed) a co	opy of the s	tummons and complaint,
tog	 ether with			<u></u>			
		Liet all doc	cuments served with the Sul	nmons and Comp	laint		
Defendant's Nom	•		Complete addressines as servi	tae	٠	•^-	Day, data, lime
		•	1.	٠,	•		
			ve been unable to f				
I have	ens anally attem	pted to serve t	nttempting to serve	omplaint, to	ogether w	vith	
f have	ensonally attem	pted to serve t	ttempting to serve	omplaint, to	ogether w	vith	
I have	personally attem	pted to serve t	ttempting to serve	omplaint, to	ogether w	vith	
I have Attachm On N at	ens personally attern	pted to serve to	the summons and of filing.	omplaint, to	ogether w	vith	
I have	ens personally attern	pted to serve to	the summons and of filing.	omplaint, to	ogether w	vith	
I have Attachm On N at the ac	ensonally atternions ons ons ons ons ons ons ons ons ons	pted to serve to	the summons and of filing.	somplaint, to	ogether w	vith	3
I have Attachm On N at the ac	ensonally attern	pted to serve to	and have been und	omplaint, to	ogether w	vith	County, Michigan.
I have Attachmon N at the act through the act source fee S	ensonally atternions ons ons ons ons ons ons ons ons ons	pted to serve to	and have been und	Signature:	ogether wooden serves	vith	County, Michigan.
I have Attachir on N at the ac	e personally attern	pted to serve to	and have been under the summons and of filing.	Signature:	Diete serv	rice because	County, Michigan.

£0.9

## STATE OF MICHIGAN

# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT IN THE COUNTY OF WASHTENAW

GREATBANC TRUST COMPANY, a Illinois corporation.

Case No. 02-612 CB Honorable Donald E. Shelton

Plaintiff.

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT and JURY DEMAND

REESE ZANTOP.

v,

Defendant.

Don Darnell (P55268)
Donald C. Darnell, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
308 N. River St., 2<sup>th</sup> Floor
Ypsilanti, Michigan 49198
734/544-7676

### FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

Now comes Plaintiff, Greatbanc Trust Company, by and through counsel, Donald C. Darnell, P.C., and states the following as their complaint against Defendant:

Plaintiff is a Illinois corporation with its registered offices in the municipality of Oak Brook,

2. Defendant Recse C. Zantop is President of Reliant Airlines, Inc., a Michigan corporation.

whose registered address is in the Township of Ypsilanti, Washtenaw County, Michigan.

County, Michigan.

3. MCL § 450.1489 allows a shareholder to bring an action in circuit court of the county in

which the principal place of business or registered office of the corporation is located.

4. The principle place of business and registered office of the corporation are Ypsilanti

- Township, Washtenaw County, Michigan.
- 5. The relief requested exceeds \$25,000.00, exclusive of interests and costs.
- 6. On or about January 1", 1996, Reliant Airlines, Inc. (hereinaster "the Company" started an Employee Stock Option Plan ("ESOP" or "the Plan") whereby Reese Zantop was paid \$20,500,000.00 for 51 percent of the Company's stock. Said stock was transferred to a third party trustee for the benefit of the plan participants and eventually transferred to the Plainriff as trustee for the Plan.
- 7. Plaintiff is a "Shareholder" pursuant to MCL § 450.1491a(b) in that Plaintiff holds in trust the shares of the Plan on behalf of the Plan's participants.
- 8. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times hereinafter mentioned since the creation of the Plan, Defendant was and is a duly appointed officer and elected member of its board of directors.
- Plaintiffs intend to amend this complaint to add all Officers and Directors of the Company.
   as they become known to Plaintiff.
- 10. The Plan requires the Trustee to vote all company stock held by it, to include stock which is allocated and stock which is not yet allocated, for a total of 51 percent of the stock of the Company.
- 11. The Plan requires a shareholder vote, at a minimum, in the following instances:
  - a. Election of the Board of Directors;
  - b. Liquidation of Company;
  - c. Major sale, distribution, abandonment, or transfer of assets;
- 12. The Company was and is, at all times relevant to this complaint, engaged in the business of

air-freight transportation.

- 13. That the Company was and is required to pay monthly Loan Contributions in whatever amount necessary to an outside lender, Bank One, on the loan which enabled the Plan to purchase the stock.
- 14. That the Company failed and, upon information and belief, continues to fail to pay said monthly Loan Contributions as required.
- 15. That as of January 2002 the Company had major assets including, but not limited to, 12

  Falcon 20 aircraft and 4 DC-9 aircraft.
- 16. That on or about March 14th, 2002 Defendants disposed of all 12 Falcon 20 aircraft and 3

  DC-9 aircraft by surrendering the same to Bank One.
- 17. That Defendants have, since on or about March 14th, 2002, continued to dispose of other Company major assets.
- 18. That upon information and belief, the remaining assets of the Company are not adequate to satisfy the Company's creditors.

# Count I Breach of Fiduciary Duty

- 19. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all facts and allegations set forth in this complaint.
- 20. Ar all times hereinbefore mentioned, it was the duty of the defendant, as director and officer, to see that the property and effects of said Company were diligently and honestly administered and to see that the same were not wasted or squandered.
- 21. Defendant breached that duty to the Plaintiff by failing to pay the monthly Loan

  Contribution installments without advising shareholders and/or soliciting a shareholder vote.

- 22. Defendant breached that duty to the Plaintiff by disposing of the Company's major assets without advising shareholders and/or soliciting a shareholder vote.
- 23. As a direct and proximate result of the negligent acts, omissions and breaches of Defendant Plaintiff has been injured as set forth herein.

# Count II Disposition of Corporate Property Violative of MCL § 450.1753

- 24. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all facts and allegations set forth in this complaint.
- 25. To dispose of property and assets, MCL § 450.1753 requires a corporation's board of directors receive consideration and to make a recommendation of the proposed transaction to the shareholders.
- 26. Defendant disposed of the Company's property and assets without receiving consideration and without making a recommendation of the proposed transaction to the shareholders.
- 27. Said disposition of property was not in the usual and regular course of business of the Company.
- 28. Defendant's conduct is violative of MCL § 450.1753 in that Defendant failed to solicit a shareholder vote prior to disposing of the Company's property and assets.
- 29. As a direct and proximate result of the negligent acts, omissions and breaches of Defendant Plaintiff has been injured as set forth herein.

# Count III Breach of Fiduciary Duty - Duty to Not Compete

- 30. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all facts and allegations ser forth in this complaint.
- 31. That at certain times since the start of the Plan, Defendant created direct competitors to the

Company, to include, but not limited to, Reliant Logistics, Inc.



- 32. Said competitors were substantially or wholly owned by Defendant.
- 33. Said competitors engaged in practices which diverted the Company's opportunities to third parties.
- 34. Defendant created said competitors and appropriated corporate opportunities for their own gain and to the detriment of the Company.
- 35. A corporate officer or director has a fiduciary obligation to not divert a corporate business opportunity for his own personal gain.
- That at all times relevant to this complaint, said business opportunities were that which the Company was financially able to undertake; were, from their nature, in the line of the Company's business and were of practical advantage to it; and were opportunities in which the Company had an interest or a reasonable expectation.
- 37. The self interest of Defendant, by and through said competitors, was in direct conflict with that of the Company.
- 38. Defendant's breached their fiduciary duties to the Company, and the Plaintiff, and Plan participants as named herein.
- 39. As a direct and proximate result of the negligent acts, omissions and breaches of Defendant Plaintiffs have been injured as set forth herein.

# Count VI Breach of Good Faith and Breach of Duty of Care Pursuant to MCL § 450.1541a

- 40. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all facts and allegations set forth in this complaint.
- 41. The General Corporations Act, MCL § 450.1541a(1) requires directors and officers to



discharge their duties in the follow manner:

- a. In good faith;
- b. With the care an ordinarily prudent person in a like position would exercise under similar circumstances:
- In a manner he or she reasonable believes to be in the best interests of the corporation.
- 42. Defendant's actions or inactions are a breach of the duty of good faith, ordinary care, and not reasonably in the best interests of the corporation.
- As a direct and proximate result of the negligent acts, omissions and breaches of Defendant Plaintiff has been injured as set forth herein.
- The liability created by this action is non-dischargable in bankruptcy pursuant to 11 USC \$523(6).

Wherefore, Plaintiff, pursuant to claims made above, request a judgment for Plaintiff and against Defendant in an amount not less than \$20,500,000.00, plus costs, interest, and arromey fees.

June 24, 2002

Don Darnell

Attorney for Plaintiff Greatbane Trust Co.

# JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff's demand for jury trial is continued.

June 24, 2002

307

Don Darnell

Attorney for Plaintiff Greatbanc Trust Co.

# UNITED STATE DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

GREATBANC TRUST COMPANY, a Illinois corporation,

Plaintiff,

٧.

Hon. PATRICK J. DUGGAN

Circuit Court Case No. 02-612-CB Hon. Donald E. Shelton

REESE ZANTOP,

Defendant.

MAGISTRATE JUDGE SCHEER

DONALD C. DARNELL, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Don Darnell (P55268)

308 N. River St., 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor

Ypsilanti, Michigan 49198

(734) 544-7676

HONIGMAN MILLER SCHWARTZ AND COHN LLP

Attorneys for Defendant

Barry L. Howard (P23458)

Jason Schian Conti (P55617)

32270 Telegraph Road, Suite 225

Bingham Farms, Michigan 48025-2457 (248) 566-8400

PROOF OF SERVICE

JASON SCHIAN CONTI, after being first duly sworn, deposes and says that on the 16th day of July, 2002, he served copies of (1) Defendant's Notice of Filing Removal, (2) Defendant's Application for Removal, and (3) the Proof of Service, on the following person at the following address:

> Don Darnell, Esq. 308 N. River St., 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Ypsilanti, Michigan 49198

(via first class mail, postage prepaid)

JASON SCHIAN CONTI

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 16th day of July, 2002

Notary Public, Wayne My Commission Expires: 10/1/2005

OAK\_A\545604.1

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

# SEE CASE FILE FOR ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS OR PAGES THAT WERE NOT SCANNED